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Abstract

In this paper, two different novel methods to derive the input-output (IO) equation of arbitrary RSSR linkages are described. Both methods share some common elements, i.e., they use the standard Denavit-Hartenberg notation to first describe the linkage as an open kinematic chain, and Study’s kinematic mapping to describe the displacement of the coordinate frame attached to the end-effector of the chain with respect to the relatively non-moving base frame. The kinematic closure equation is obtained in the seven-dimensional projective kinematic mapping image space by equating the eight Study soma coordinates to the identity array. Then two methods are successfully applied to eliminate the intermediate joint angle parameters leading to the degree four biquadratic implicit algebraic IO equation: a) the linear implicitisation algorithm, which can be applied after rearranging the closure equation such that the linkage can be viewed as two serial RS chains, and b) numerical elimination theory using pseudowitness sets. Both approaches lead to the same IO equation. A geometric model was created in GeoGebra which verifies the derived equation.
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1. Introduction

Four-bar linkages have attracted the curiosity of countless people in research and industry. The high interest in the linkage motions is not least due to the wide range of applications in which they are used today, see, for example, [1, 2]. Although there exists detailed trigonometric analysis of four-bar linkages’ kinematic behaviour [3, 4], the rise of computational capacities and the rediscovery of Study’s kinematic mapping [5] pose a valid reason to reinvestigate these types of linkages from an algebraic standpoint. The whole idea behind Study’s kinematic mapping is to describe distinct three-dimensional displacements of a moving end-effector frame of a kinematic chain of rigid bodies as distinct points in a seven-dimensional projective kinematic mapping image space described by eight homogeneous coordinates. Constraints on the motion of the end-effector frame imposed by the joints in the kinematic chain map to curves or surfaces in the image space. The equations of these curves or surfaces are known as constraint equations [6]. The coordinate transformation of this mapping is expressed via dual quaternions instead of traditional matrix formulations. Detailed understanding of the mathematical tools that allow one to manipulate the algebraic constraint equations in Study’s kinematic image space can help to solve more complex motions of kinematic chains, such as [7, 8].

One major advantage of analysing linkages using algebraic constraint equations compared to the classical trigonometric approach lies in its capability to obtain all possible solutions [9]. Motivated by these considerations, a derivation algorithm that describes the linkage using Denavit Hartenberg (DH) parameters, projects the displacement transformation matrix into Study’s kinematic image space, and manipulates the resulting equations via Gröbner bases to obtain the algebraic input-output (IO) equation for planar, spherical, and Bennett linkages has been presented in [10, 11], respectively. A natural extension of this algorithm, to demonstrate its effectiveness, is to apply it to another well-investigated spatial linkage, the RSSR, which will be the main contribution of this paper. In addition, the results obtained using the polynomial elimination method [12]
are supported by a numerical method [9] leading to an identical algebraic IO equation, as well as a verification of the equation using an animated example linkage that we created in the GeoGebra software.

The RSSR linkage consists of two revolute (R) and two spherical (S) joints and following the Kutzbach criterion, possesses 2 degrees of freedom (dof). However, one dof that does not influence the IO equation corresponds to the rotation of the coupler link between the two spherical joints about its own longitudinal axis. This so-called idle dof can have a positive effect on the durability of the linkage in engineering applications, as it helps to evenly wear the S joints [13].

Generally, the IO equation of the RSSR is a much more involved equation compared to the planar, and spherical ones, as in addition to the link lengths between the four joints, the linkage further possesses three additional design parameters between the revolute joints, i.e., two link offsets and a link twist. Previous trigonometric derivations of the RSSR IO equation are available, for example, in [4, 14]. Hartenberg and Denavit’s derivation of the IO equation [14] uses their well-known parameters and trigonometric relations, leading to an equation that resembles a more complex version of the Freudenstein equation [3]. This is not entirely surprising given that the planar four-bar is a special case of the RSSR linkage [4, 15].

2. Denavit-Hartenberg (DH) Parametrisation

The literature contains many variations of the original Denavit-Hartenberg (DH) coordinate system and parameter assignment convention [16]. For example, subtly different coordinate frame attachment rules and parameter definitions have been devised for mechanical system calibration, dynamic analysis, accounting for misalignment of joint axis directions, etc., see [17, 18, 19, 20] for several examples. Therefore, it is important to precisely define the convention used in this work to avoid confusion and misinterpretation since the corresponding coordinate transformations are all different from those of Denavit and Hartenberg.
The first step in the DH parametrisation of an arbitrary kinematic chain is to identify and number all the joint axes. Next comes the allocation of coordinate systems to each link in the chain using a set of rules to locate the origin of the coordinate system and the orientation of the basis vectors. The position and orientation of consecutive links are defined by a homogeneous transformation matrix that maps coordinates of points in the coordinate system attached to link $i$ to those of the same points described in the coordinate system attached to link $i - 1$. Symbolically, the coordinate transformation matrix is denoted $i^{-1}T_i$.

The forward and inverse kinematics of serial chains are the concatenations of the individual transformation matrices in the appropriate order [21]. For example, the forward kinematics problem of determining the position and orientation of the $n^{th}$ link in a serial kinematic chain described in a relatively fixed non-moving base coordinate system 0, given the relevant DH parameters and values for the $n$ joint variables is conceptually straightforward as matrix multiplication.

![Figure 1: DH parameters in a general serial 3R kinematic chain.](image)

To visualise the four DH parameters, consider two arbitrary sequential neighbouring links, $i - 1$ and $i$. Two such links are illustrated, together with their
DH parameters, in Fig. 1. The DH parameters \[16\] are defined in the following way.

\[\theta_i\], joint angle: the angle from \(x_{i-1}\) to \(x_i\) measured about \(z_{i-1}\).

\[d_i\], link offset: the distance from \(x_{i-1}\) to \(x_i\) measured along \(z_{i-1}\).

\[\tau_i\], link twist: the angle from \(z_{i-1}\) to \(z_i\) measured about \(x_i\).

\[a_i\], link length: the directed distance from \(z_{i-1}\) to \(z_i\) measured along \(x_i\).

The procedure for assigning the location of the origin and the basis vector directions for the coordinate system for the \(i^{th}\) link in which the DH parameters are defined is as follows.

1. Identify all joint axes. Consider neighbours \(i - 1\), \(i\), and \(i + 1\), illustrated in Fig. 1.

2. Identify the common perpendicular between the two axes \(i\) and \(i + 1\), or their point of intersection. At the point of intersection, or where the common perpendicular meets the \(i + 1^{st}\) joint axis, assign the link coordinate system origin, \(0_i\).

3. For coordinate systems 0 and 1, ensure the coordinate axes are aligned when \(\theta_1 = 0\).

4. Assign the \(z_i\) axis to point along the joint axis \(i + 1\).

5. Assign the \(x_i\) axis to point along the common normal between the joint axes \(i\) and \(i + 1\). If the axes are parallel, any convenient normal can be selected. If the axes intersect, assign \(x_i\) to be perpendicular to the plane containing \(z_{i-1}\) and \(z_i\).

6. Assign the \(y_i\) axis to complete a right-handed coordinate system.

Note that the DH parameters are not unique, i.e., it is possible to attach the coordinate systems in slightly different ways resulting in different sets of DH parameters. For example, when we first align the \(z_i\) axis with joint axis \(i + 1\), there are two choices for this basis vector direction. Regardless of the direction choices made, if one consistently follows these rules the final algebraic IO equation for the RSSR will not differ from the result presented in this paper.
Each of the two S joints of the RSSR can be modelled as three R joints whose rotation axes are mutually orthogonal and intersect at the sphere centre. Hence, eight coordinate frames are attached to the linkage. The chosen coordinate systems are illustrated in Fig. 2 and the corresponding DH parameters are to be found in Tab. 1. Note that the only link twist that is a design parameter is $\tau_8$. The twists between the three mutually orthogonal R joint axes comprising the S joints are $\pm \pi$. We arbitrarily use the positive value, as the sign has no impact on the resulting algebraic IO equation.

![Figure 2: An arbitrary RSSR mechanism.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>joint axis $i$</th>
<th>joint angle $\theta_i$</th>
<th>link offset $d_i$</th>
<th>link length $a_i$</th>
<th>link twist $\tau_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\theta_1$</td>
<td>$d_1$</td>
<td>$a_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\theta_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\theta_3$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$\theta_4$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$a_4$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$\theta_5$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$\theta_6$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$\theta_7$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$a_7$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$\theta_8$</td>
<td>$d_8$</td>
<td>$a_8$</td>
<td>$\tau_8$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The DH convention uses two screw displacements to describe the coordinate transformation of joint $i$ relative to joint $i-1$. The two screw displacements consist of one pure rotation, $T(\theta_i)$ or $T(\tau_i)$, and one pure translation, $T(d_i)$ or $T(a_i)$, each. More precisely, the transformation between two sequential coordinate frames is obtained as

$$i^{-1}T = T(\theta_i) \cdot T(d_i) \cdot T(a_i) \cdot T(\tau_i), \quad (1)$$

where

$$T(\theta_i) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\theta_i) & -\sin(\theta_i) & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(\theta_i) & \cos(\theta_i) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; \quad T(d_i) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ d_i & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ;$$

$$T(a_i) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_i & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; \quad T(\tau_i) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos(\tau_i) & -\sin(\tau_i) \\ 0 & 0 & \sin(\tau_i) & \cos(\tau_i) \end{bmatrix} .$$

In the remainder of this paper, the tangent half angle substitutions for the angle parameters $v_i = \tan(\theta_i/2)$ and $\alpha_i = \tan(\tau_i/2)$ will be used [22] in order to algebraise the transformations. This implies that

$$\cos \theta_i = \frac{1 - v_i^2}{1 + v_i^2}, \quad \sin \theta_i = \frac{2v_i}{1 + v_i^2}, \quad (2)$$

$$\cos \tau_i = \frac{1 - \alpha_i^2}{1 + \alpha_i^2}, \quad \sin \tau_i = \frac{2\alpha_i}{1 + \alpha_i^2}. \quad (3)$$

We begin with a serial RSSR kinematic chain and determine the forward kinematics. The required multiplication of the individual DH transformation matrices from one coordinate frame to another yields the overall homogeneous transformation matrix that describes the relationship between the first and last coordinate frames. To close the kinematic chain, we want the first and last coordinate systems to align in both their orientation and origin. Algebraically, this is
specified using the kinematic closure equation, where the overall transformation equates to the identity \[16\]
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{8} T_i^{-1} = I.
\] (4)

The elements of this algebraic DH transformation matrix are then directly mapped into Study’s kinematic image space where the constraint manifold could be analysed as it was successfully demonstrated for the planar 4R, spherical 4R, and Bennett linkage [10, 11]. However, applying Gröbner bases or other elimination methods the eight Study soma coordinates to symbolically obtain the IO equation for the RSSR linkage is computationally too demanding for an algebraic geometry approach. While very computationally demanding, a numerical approach that uses the forward kinematics of the serial RSSR chain mapped to the eight soma coordinates, described in Section 5, using pseudowitness sets leads directly to the desired IO equation.

Still, an efficient and elegant algebraic geometry approach, which, for example, has already been successfully applied in [8], is to conceptually split the closure equation in two by multiplying both sides by the inverses of half of the DH transformations. In the case of the RSSR, the closure equation becomes

\[
0 T_1^{-1} T_2 T_3^{-1} T_4 T_5^{-1} T_6^{-1} T_7^{-1} T_8 = I.
\] (5)

This step essentially divides the linkage into two serial chains joined at the 4th coordinate frame located in the second S joint, i.e., one chain between the coordinate frames 0 and 4, and one chain between the coordinate frames 4 and 8, which correspond to the expressions on the left and right sides of Eq. (5), respectively, which we call the left RS and right RS dyads. Eq. (5) will be used in Section 4 to obtain the algebraic IO equation by projecting it to the image space. However, before we proceed we will briefly recall Study’s kinematic mapping [5, 6].
3. Study’s Kinematic Mapping

The homogeneous transformation matrices in Eqs. (4) and (5) represent a subgroup of the group of spatial Euclidean displacements, $SE(3)$, with respect to a relatively non-moving coordinate frame. There are several possibilities to parameterise this rigid body displacement group, one of them being the kinematic mapping that was originally formulated by Eduard Study and reported in an appendix of his book “Geometrie der Dynamen” \[5\] in 1903. It defines every distinct Euclidean displacement as a distinct point on a six-dimensional quadric hyper-surface in a seven-dimensional projective space $\mathbb{P}^7$ now known as the Study quadric, $S^2_6$. A point on $S^2_6$ consists of eight homogeneous coordinates, not all zero, $x = [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : y_0 : y_1 : y_2 : y_3]^T$ which Study called a “soma”, a Greek word meaning “body”. The hyper-surface is a seven-dimensional bilinear hyper-quadratic equation given by

$$x_0y_0 + x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 = 0,$$

(6)

excluding the exceptional generator, which we call $A_\infty$, where $x_0 = x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$, having the parametric representation

$$[0 : 0 : 0 : y_0 : y_1 : y_2 : y_3].$$

$A_\infty$ does not represent any real displacement, but it nonetheless plays an important role as a generator space. For a soma to represent a real displacement in $SE(3)$, it must satisfy two conditions: the first being Eq. (6); the second being the inequality

$$x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \neq 0.$$  

(7)

Eq. (6) contains only bilinear cross terms. This implies that the quadric has been rotated out of its standard position, or normal form \[23, 24\]. It is straightforward to diagonalise the quadratic form of Eq. (6) which reveals that this six-dimensional quadric in $\mathbb{P}^7$ has the normal form

$$x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - y_0^2 - y_1^2 - y_2^2 - y_3^2 = 0,$$

(8)
which is analogous to the Plücker quadric, $P_4^2$, of line geometry [25]. The normal form of $S_6^2$ shows that it is a seven-dimensional hyperboloid of one sheet doubly-ruled by special 3-space generators in two opposite reguli, which we call $A$-planes and $B$-planes, after [26].

It can be shown that lines on $S_6^2$ represent either a one parameter set of translations or rotations [26]. The lines which contain the identity array $[1 : 0 : 0 : \ldots : 0]^T$, which Study called the “protosoma”, are either the one parameter rotation or translation subgroups. The exceptional generator $A_\infty$ is an $A$-plane.

In general, two different $A$-planes do not intersect, nor do two different $B$-planes, but there are exceptions [27]. An $A$-plane corresponds to $SO(3)$ if it contains the identity and its intersection with $A_\infty$ is the empty set, and to $SE(2)$ if it contains the identity and intersects $A_\infty$ in a line. These two types of $A$-planes intersect each other in lines on $S_6^2$. Each of these lines represent rotations about the line orthogonal to the plane of the planar displacement and through the centre point of the spherical displacement [27, 28]. The only $B$-planes that intersect $A_\infty$ correspond to the subgroup of all translations. The intersection of an $A$-plane and a $B$-plane is either a point, or a two dimensional plane [29].

Given a transformation matrix whose rotation elements are denoted as $a_{ij}$ with $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and whose translation vector elements are denoted as $t_k$ with $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then the corresponding Study soma coordinates, also known as Study parameters, are obtained in the following way. The homogeneous quadruple $x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3$ can be obtained from at least one of the following ratios:

\[
x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 = 1 + a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33} : a_{32} - a_{23} : a_{13} - a_{31} : a_{21} - a_{12};
\]

\[
= a_{32} - a_{23} : 1 + a_{11} - a_{22} - a_{33} : a_{12} + a_{21} : a_{13} + a_{31};
\]

\[
= a_{13} - a_{31} : a_{12} + a_{21} : 1 - a_{11} + a_{22} - a_{33} : a_{23} + a_{32};
\]

\[
= a_{21} - a_{12} : a_{31} + a_{13} : a_{23} + a_{32} : 1 - a_{11} - a_{22} + a_{33}. \quad (9)
\]

The remaining four coordinates $y_0 : y_1 : y_2 : y_3$ are linear combinations of the
$x_i$ and $t_i$ and are computed as

\[
\begin{align*}
    y_0 &= \frac{1}{2} (t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3), \\
    y_1 &= \frac{1}{2} (-t_1 x_0 + t_3 x_2 - t_2 x_3), \\
    y_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (-t_2 x_0 - t_3 x_1 + t_1 x_3), \\
    y_3 &= \frac{1}{2} (-t_3 x_0 + t_2 x_1 - t_1 x_2).
\end{align*}
\]  

(10)

Hence, the mechanical constraints imposed by the type of joints used in the kinematic chains of the RSSR are mapped onto Study’s quadric. The result is a parametric representation in terms of Study soma coordinates of the constraint manifold \[30\].

The image of the overall DH transformation of the RSSR linkage, Eq. (4), in terms of Study parameters yields

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_0 &= 2v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 - 2v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 + \ldots + 2\alpha_8 v_6 v_8 + 2v_7 v_8 - 2, \\
    x_1 &= 2\alpha_8 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 - 2\alpha_8 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 + \ldots + 2\alpha_8 v_7 v_8 - 2\alpha_8, \\
    x_2 &= -2\alpha_8 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 - 2\alpha_8 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_8 + \ldots - 2\alpha_8 v_7 - 2\alpha_8 v_8, \\
    x_3 &= -2v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 - 2v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_8 + \ldots + 2\alpha_8 v_6 - 2v_7 - 2v_8, \\
    y_0 &= -\alpha_1 \alpha_8 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 + a_4 \alpha_8 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 + \ldots - \alpha_8 a_8, \\
    y_1 &= a_1 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 - a_4 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 + \ldots + a_1 + a_4 + a_7 + a_8, \\
    y_2 &= -\alpha_8 d_1 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 - \alpha_8 d_1 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 + \ldots + \alpha_8 d_8, \\
    y_3 &= -d_1 \alpha_8 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 - d_8 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 + \ldots + d_1 + d_8.
\end{align*}
\]

As these polynomials are extremely large, each containing 128 very large terms, only the beginning and end of the expressions sorted using graded lexicographic ordering with $v_1 > v_2 > \ldots > v_8$ are displayed here. These polynomials will be solved numerically in Section \[5\] but are otherwise too cumbersome to deal with using algebraic geometry and computer algebra software, such as Maple 2021.

For this we require a different approach.

As mentioned earlier, this different approach involves conceptually splitting the RSSR into two serial RS chains. In this way, mapping the left hand side of Eq. (5), the left RS chain, into Study’s kinematic image space yields eight significantly smaller polynomials

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_0 &= 4v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 - 4v_1 v_3 - 4v_2 v_3 - 4v_3 v_4,
\end{align*}
\]
\[ x_1 = -4v_1v_2 + 4v_1v_4 + 4v_2v_4 + 4, \]
\[ x_2 = 4v_1v_2v_4 + 4v_1 + 4v_2 - 4v_4, \]
\[ x_3 = -4v_1v_2v_3 - 4v_1v_3v_4 - 4v_2v_3v_4 + 4v_3, \]
\[ y_0 = -2d_1v_1v_2v_3 - 2d_1v_1v_3v_4 - 2d_1v_2v_3v_4 + 2a_1v_1v_2 - 2a_4v_1v_2 - 2a_1v_1v_4 
+ 2a_4v_1v_4 + 2a_1v_2v_4 + 2a_4v_2v_4 + 2d_1v_3 + 2a_1 + 2a_4, \]
\[ y_1 = 2a_1v_1v_2v_3 - 2a_4v_1v_2v_3v_4 + 2d_1v_1v_2v_3 - 2a_1v_1v_3 + 2a_4v_1v_3 + 2a_1v_2v_3 
+ 2a_4v_2v_3 + 2a_1v_3v_4 + 2a_4v_3v_4 + 2d_1v_1 + 2d_1v_2 - 2d_1v_4, \]
\[ y_2 = 2a_1v_1v_2v_3 + 2a_4v_1v_2v_3 + 2a_1v_1v_3v_4 + 2a_4v_1v_3v_4 - 2a_1v_2v_3v_4 + 2a_4v_2v_3v_4 
+ 2d_1v_1v_2 - 2d_1v_1v_4 - 2d_1v_2v_4 + 2a_1v_3 - 2a_4v_3 - 2d_1, \]
\[ y_3 = -2d_1v_1v_2v_3v_4 + 2a_1v_1v_2v_4 + 2a_4v_1v_2v_4 + 2d_1v_1v_3 + 2d_1v_2v_3 + 2d_1v_3v_4 
+ 2a_1v_1 + 2a_4v_1 - 2a_1v_2 + 2a_4v_2 + 2a_1v_4 - 2a_4v_4. \]

And finally, mapping the right hand side of Eq. (5), the right RS chain, into Study's kinematic image space yields eight additional smaller polynomials

\[ x_0 = 4v_5v_6v_7v_8 - 4v_5v_6 - 4a_8v_5v_7 - 4a_8v_5v_8 - 4v_5v_7 - 4v_6v_8 + 4a_8v_7v_8 - 4a_8, \]
\[ x_1 = -4a_8v_5v_6v_7 + 4a_8v_5v_6 - 4v_5v_7 - 4v_5v_8 + 4a_8v_6v_7 + 4a_8v_6v_8 + 4v_7v_8 - 4, \]
\[ x_2 = 4a_8v_5v_6v_7 + 4a_8v_5v_6v_8 + 4v_5v_7v_8 + 4a_8v_6v_7v_8 - 4v_5 - 4a_8v_6 + 4v_7 + 4v_8, \]
\[ x_3 = 4v_5v_6v_7 + 4v_5v_6v_8 - 4a_8v_5v_7v_8 + 4v_6v_7v_8 + 4a_8v_5 - 4v_6 - 4a_8v_7 - 4a_8v_8, \]
\[ y_0 = -2a_7a_8v_5v_6v_7v_8 + 2a_8a_8v_5v_6v_7v_8 - 2d_9v_5v_6v_7 - 2d_8v_5v_6v_8 - 2a_8d_8v_5v_7v_8 
- 2d_8v_5v_7v_8 - 2a_7a_8v_5v_6 - 2a_8a_8v_5v_6 + 2a_7v_5v_7 + 2a_8v_5v_7 - 2a_7v_5v_8 
+ 2a_8v_5v_8 - 2a_7a_8v_6v_7 - 2a_8a_8v_6v_7 + 2a_7a_8v_6v_8 - 2a_8a_8v_6v_8 + 2a_7v_7v_8 
- 2a_8v_7v_8 + 2a_8d_8v_5 + 2d_8v_5 - 2a_8d_8v_7 - 2a_8d_8v_8 + 2a_7 + 2a_8, \]
\[ y_1 = -2a_7v_5v_6v_7v_8 + 2a_8a_8v_5v_6v_7v_8 + 2a_8d_8v_5v_6v_7v_8 + 2a_8d_8v_5v_6v_8v_8 - 2d_8v_5v_7v_8 
+ 2a_8d_8v_6v_7v_8 - 2a_7v_5v_6 - 2a_8v_5v_6 - 2a_7a_8v_5v_7 - 2a_8a_8v_5v_7 + 2a_7a_8v_5v_8 
- 2a_8a_8v_5v_8 - 2a_7v_6v_7 - 2a_8v_6v_7 + 2a_7v_6v_8 - 2a_8v_6v_8 - 2a_7a_8v_7v_8 
+ 2a_8d_8v_7v_8 + 2d_8v_5 - 2a_8d_8v_6 - 2d_8v_7 - 2d_8v_8 - 2a_7a_8 - 2a_8a_8, \]
\[ y_2 = 2a_8d_8v_5v_6v_7v_8 - 2a_7v_5v_6v_7 - 2a_8v_5v_6v_7 + 2a_7v_5v_6v_8 - 2a_8v_5v_6v_8 \]
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\begin{align*}
-2a_7\alpha_s v_5 v_7 v_8 &+ 2a_8\alpha_s v_5 v_7 v_8 + 2a_7 v_6 v_7 v_8 - 2a_8 v_6 v_7 v_8 - 2\alpha_s d_8 v_5 v_6 \\
-2d_8 v_5 v_7 &- 2d_8 v_5 v_8 - 2\alpha_s d_8 v_6 v_7 - 2\alpha_s d_8 v_6 v_8 + 2d_7 v_7 v_8 - 2a_7\alpha_s v_5 \\
-2a_8\alpha_s v_5 &+ 2a_7 v_6 + 2a_8 v_6 + 2a_7\alpha_s v_7 + 2a_8\alpha_s v_7 - 2a_7\alpha_s v_8 + 2a_8\alpha_s v_8 - 2d_8, \\
y_3 &= 2d_8 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8 + 2a_7\alpha_s v_5 v_6 v_7 + 2a_8\alpha_s v_5 v_6 v_7 - 2a_7\alpha_s v_5 v_6 v_8 + 2a_8\alpha_s v_5 v_6 v_8 \\
&- 2a_7 v_5 v_7 v_8 + 2a_8 v_5 v_7 v_8 - 2a_7\alpha_s v_6 v_7 v_8 + 2a_8\alpha_s v_6 v_7 v_8 - 2d_8 v_5 v_6 \\
&+ 2\alpha_s d_8 v_5 v_7 + 2\alpha_s d_8 v_5 v_8 - 2d_8 v_6 v_7 - 2d_8 v_6 v_8 - 2\alpha_s d_8 v_7 v_8 - 2a_7 v_5 - 2a_8 v_5 \\
&- 2a_7\alpha_8 v_6 - 2a_8\alpha_8 v_6 + 2a_7 v_7 + 2a_8 v_7 - 2a_7 v_8 + 2a_8 v_8 + 2\alpha_8 d_8.
\end{align*}
the S joint in a planar displacement thereby moving this special A-plane on $S^5_6$.

It is well known that a 3-space can be represented by the intersection of four hyperplanes in the kinematic image space. To determine the RSSR algebraic IO equation we must identify these hyperplanes, one set for each serial RS chain.

To obtain their implicit equations the linear implicitisation algorithm will be employed. The main goal of the linear implicitisation algorithm is to find the minimal number of implicit equations that describe the mechanical constraints in the image space. It allows for the elimination of motion parameters which, in the case of the RSSR, correspond to the variables $v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_7$. On the other hand, the design parameters $a_i, d_i$ and $\alpha_i$ are fixed values that depend on the chosen linkage. However, to obtain the implicit polynomials for the spherical special 3-spaces $v_1$ and $v_8$ are temporarily also considered as design parameter constants.

To begin, we assume that the resulting variety is defined by linear constraint equations, and hence a general linear ansatz polynomial can be written, using the graded reverse lexicographic monomial ordering [31], as

$$C_1y_3 + C_2y_2 + C_3y_1 + C_4y_0 + C_5x_3 + C_6x_2 + C_7x_1 + C_8x_0 = 0. \quad (14)$$

This linear ansatz polynomial has eight unknown coefficients $C_i, i \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$. In the case of the left hand side of the RSSR chain, Eq. (12) is substituted into Eq. (14) and after reorganising such that the variable angle parameters of the
spherical displacement are collected, yields

\[ (-2C_1d_1v_1 + 2C_3a_1v_1 - 2C_3a_4v_1 + 4C_5v_1 - 2C_5d_1 - 2C_2a_1 + 2C_2a_4 - 4C_7)v_2v_3v_4 \\
+ (2C_2a_1v_1 + 2C_2a_4v_1 - 2C_4d_1v_1 - 4C_5v_1 + 2C_1d_1 + 2C_3a_1 + 2C_3a_4 - 4C_5)v_2v_3 \\
+ (2C_1a_1v_1 + 2C_1a_4v_1 + 2C_3d_1v_1 + 2C_6v_1 - 2C_2d_1 + 2C_3a_1 + 2C_3a_4 + 4C_7)v_2v_4 \\
+ (2C_2d_1v_1 + 2C_1a_1v_1 - 2C_4a_1v_1 - 4C_7v_1 - 2C_1a_1 + 2C_1a_4 + 2C_3d_1 + 4C_6)v_2 \\
+ (2C_2a_1v_1 + 2C_2a_4v_1 - 2C_4d_1v_1 - 4C_5v_1 + 2C_1d_1 + 2C_3a_1 + 2C_3a_4 - 4C_5)v_3v_4 \\
+ (2C_1d_1v_1 - 2C_3a_1v_1 + 2C_3a_4v_1 - 4C_5v_1 + 2C_2a_1 - 2C_2a_4 + 2C_3d_1 + 4C_5)v_3 \\
+ (-2C_2d_1v_1 - 2C_1a_1v_1 + 2C_3a_4v_1 + 4C_7v_1 + 2C_1a_1 - 2C_1a_4 - 2C_3d_1 - 4C_6)v_4 \\
+ (2C_1a_1v_1 + 2C_1a_4v_1 + 2C_3d_1v_1 + 4C_6v_1 - 2C_2d_1 + 2C_3a_1 + 2C_3a_4 + 4C_7) = 0. \]

(15)

To fulfil this equation, the coefficients of the motion parameters in Eq. (15) must vanish since the \( v_2, v_3, \) and \( v_4 \) orientation angle parameters are, in general non-zero. In matrix form, this can be expressed as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
2a_1v_1 + 2a_4v_1 & -2d_1 & 2d_1v_1 & 2a_1 + 2a_4 & 0 & 4v_1 & 4 & 0 \\
-2d_1v_1 & -2a_1 + 2a_4 & 2a_1 + 2a_4v_1 - 2a_4v_1 & -2d_1 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 4v_1 \\
-2a_1 + 2a_4 & 2d_1v_1 & 2d_1 & 2a_1v_1 - 2a_4v_1 & 0 & 4 & -4v_1 & 0 \\
2a_1v_1 + 2a_4v_1 & -2d_1 & 2d_1v_1 & 2a_1 + 2a_4 & 0 & 4v_1 & 4 & 0 \\
2d_1 & 2a_1v_1 + 2a_4v_1 & 2a_1 + 2a_4 & -2d_1v_1 & -4v_1 & 0 & -4 & 0 \\
2d_1 & 2a_1v_1 + 2a_4v_1 & 2a_1 + 2a_4 & -2d_1v_1 & -4v_1 & 0 & -4 & 0 \\
2d_1v_1 & 2a_1 - 2a_4 & -2a_1v_1 + 2a_4v_1 & 2d_1 & 4 & 0 & 0 & -4v_1 \\
2a_1 - 2a_4 & -2d_1v_1 & -2d_1 & -2a_1v_1 + 2a_4v_1 & 0 & -4 & 4v_1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
C_1 \\
C_2 \\
C_3 \\
C_4 \\
C_5 \\
C_6 \\
C_7 \\
C_8 \\
\end{bmatrix} = 0.
\]

Solving for the unknown \( C_i \) and back-substituting their solutions into the general linear ansatz polynomial Eq. (14) reveals all four hyperplanes that satisfy the variety in \( \mathbb{P}^7 \). The solution shows that \( C_1, C_3, C_4, \) and \( C_8 \) are all free parameters with arbitrary values while \( C_2, C_5, C_6, \) and \( C_7 \) are expressions containing the design parameters and, after simplifying, are each linear in four of the Study parameters, and therefore hyperplanes. These four hyperplanes collected in terms of the Study parameters are

\[
0 = (a_1^2v_1^2 - a_4^2v_4^2 + d_1^2v_1^2 + a_1^2 - a_4^2 + d_1^2)x_3 + (-2d_1v_1^2 - 2d_1)y_0 \\
+ 4a_1v_1y_1 + (2a_1v_1^2 - 2a_4v_4^2 - 2a_1 - 2a_4)y_2; \\
0 = (a_1^2v_1^2 - a_4^2v_4^2 + d_1^2v_1^2 + a_1^2 - a_4^2 + d_1^2)x_2 - 4a_1v_1y_0 + (-2d_1v_1^2 - 2d_1)y_1.
\]

(16)
\begin{align}
+ (-2a_1 v_1^2 + 2a_4 v_1^2 + 2a_1 + 2a_4)y_1, \quad (17) \\
0 &= (a_1^2 v_1^2 - a_4^2 v_1^2 + d_1^2 v_1^2 + a_1^2 - a_4^2 + d_1^2)x_1 + (2a_1 v_1^2 + 2a_4 v_1^2 - 2a_1 + 2a_4)y_0 \\
+ (2d_1 v_1^2 + 2d_1)y_2 - 4a_1 v_1 y_3, \quad (18) \\
0 &= (a_1^2 v_1^2 - a_4^2 v_1^2 + d_1^2 v_1^2 + a_1^2 - a_4^2 + d_1^2)x_0 + (-2a_1 v_1^2 - 2a_4 v_1^2 + 2a_1 - 2a_4)y_1 \\
+ 4a_1 v_1 y_2 + (2d_1 v_1^2 + 2d_1)y_3. \quad (19)
\end{align}

The same procedure can be done with the right hand side of the RSSR by substituting Eq. \[13\] in the general linear ansatz polynomial, Eq. \[14\]. In this case, the motion parameters to be eliminated are \(v_5, v_6\) and \(v_7\). Solving the resulting homogeneous matrix equation for the new unknown \(C_i\) yields the following four hyperplanes in a similar way. They are

\begin{align}
0 &= (a_1^2 \alpha_3^2 v_3^2 - 2a_7 \alpha_8 \alpha_3^2 v_3^2 + a_3^2 \alpha_3^2 v_3^2 + a_2^2 d_3^2 v_3^2 + a_7^2 v_3^2 - 2a_8 a_7 v_3^2 \\
+ a_3^2 v_3^2 + d_3^2 v_3^2 + a_3^2 d_3^2 + 2a_7 \alpha_8 a_3^2 + a_3^2 a_3^2 + a_2^2 d_3^2 + a_7^2 + 2a_7 \alpha_8 + a_3^2 + d_3^2)x_3 \\
+ (-2a_3^2 d_3^2 v_3^2 + 2d_3 v_3^2 + 8a_7 \alpha_8 v_8 - 2a_5^2 d_3 + 2d_3) y_0 \\
+ (-4d_3 a_7 v_8 - 4a_3^2 a_7 v_8 + 4a_7 v_8 - 4a_3^2 a_8) y_1 \\
+ (-2a_7 v_8^2 + 2a_3^2 a_8 v_8^2 - 2a_7 v_8^2 + 2a_8 v_8^2 + 2a_7 a_8^2 + 2a_3^2 a_8 + 2a_7 + 2a_8) y_2, \quad (20)
\end{align}

\begin{align}
0 &= (a_1^2 \alpha_3^2 v_3^2 - 2a_7 \alpha_8 \alpha_3^2 v_3^2 + a_3^2 \alpha_3^2 v_3^2 + a_2^2 d_3^2 v_3^2 + a_7^2 v_3^2 - 2a_8 a_7 v_3^2 \\
+ a_3^2 v_3^2 + d_3^2 v_3^2 + a_3^2 d_3^2 + 2a_7 \alpha_8 a_3^2 + a_3^2 a_3^2 + a_2^2 d_3^2 + a_7^2 + 2a_7 \alpha_8 + a_3^2 + d_3^2)x_2 \\
+ (4d_3 a_7 v_8 - 4a_3^2 a_7 v_8 - 4a_7 v_8 + 4d_3 a_8) y_0 \\
+ (-2a_3^2 d_3^2 v_3^2 + 2d_3 v_3^2 + 8a_7 \alpha_8 v_8 - 2a_5^2 d_3 + 2d_3) y_1 \\
+ (2a_7 v_8^2 - 2a_3^2 a_8 v_8^2 + 2a_7 v_8^2 - 2a_8 v_8^2 - 2a_7 a_8^2 - 2a_3^2 a_8 - 2a_7 - 2a_8) y_3, \quad (21)
\end{align}

\begin{align}
0 &= (a_1^2 \alpha_3^2 v_3^2 - 2a_7 \alpha_8 \alpha_3^2 v_3^2 + a_3^2 \alpha_3^2 v_3^2 + a_2^2 d_3^2 v_3^2 + a_7^2 v_3^2 - 2a_8 a_7 v_3^2 \\
+ a_3^2 v_3^2 + d_3^2 v_3^2 + a_3^2 d_3^2 + 2a_7 \alpha_8 a_3^2 + a_3^2 a_3^2 + a_2^2 d_3^2 + a_7^2 + 2a_7 \alpha_8 + a_3^2 + d_3^2)x_1 \\
+ (-2a_7 v_8^2 + 2a_3^2 a_8 v_8^2 - 2a_7 v_8^2 + 2a_8 v_8^2 + 2a_7 a_8^2 + 2a_3^2 a_8 + 2a_7 + 2a_8) y_0 \\
+ (2a_3^2 d_3^2 v_3^2 - 2d_3 v_3^2 - 8a_7 \alpha_8 v_8 + 2a_5^2 d_3 - 2d_3) y_2 \\
+ (4d_3 a_7 v_8 + 4a_3^2 a_7 v_8 - 4a_7 v_8 + 4d_3 a_8) y_3. \quad (22)
\end{align}
as algebraic IO equation of the RSSR linkage arises directly from the determinant coefficient matrix $A$. This system only has a nontrivial solution when the determinant of the $4 \times 4$ matrix $x_0$

$$0 = (a_7^2 \alpha_7^2 v_7^2 - 2a_7 \alpha_8 \alpha_7^2 v_7^2 + a_8^2 \alpha_7^2 v_7^2 + a_7^2 d_7^2 v_7^2 + a_7^2 v_7^2 - 2a_8 a_7 v_7^2$$
\[\quad + a_8^2 v_8^2 + d_8^2 v_8^2 + \alpha_8^2 a_7^2 + 2a_7 a_8 \alpha_7^2 + a_8^2 \alpha_7^2 + a_7^2 d_8^2 + a_7^2 + 2a_7 a_8 + a_8^2 + d_8^2)x_0\]
\[\quad + (2a_7 \alpha_8^2 v_8^2 - 2a_8^2 a_8 v_8^2 + 2a_7 v_8^2 - 2a_8 v_8^2 - 2a_7 \alpha_8^2 - 2a_8^2 a_8 - 2a_7 - 2a_8)y_1\]
\[\quad + (-4d_8 \alpha_8 v_8^2 - 4a_8^2 a_7 v_8 + 4a_7 v_8 - 4d_8 \alpha_8)y_2\]
\[\quad + (2a_8^2 d_8 v_8^2 - 2d_8 v_8^2 - 8a_7 \alpha_8 v_8 + 2a_8^2 d_8 - 2d_8)y_3. \quad (23)\]

Solving Eqs. (16), . . . , (19) for the four $y_i$ and substituting these expressions into Eqs. (20), . . . , (23) leaves four equations in the four unknown Study parameters $x_i$. This suggests solving the system of four equations for the four unknown $x_i$. However, doing so leads only to the trivial solution $x_i = y_i = 0$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, which we call the null point. This result can be explained geometrically in $\mathbb{P}^7$ as follows: the two special 3-spaces representing the displacements of the S joints are two $SO(3)$ $A$-planes that are moved around on $S_0^2$ under the action of the two R joints, and only ever intersect in the null point.

But, there is a solution. Further inspection of the four equations shows that the equations form a homogeneous system of linear equations. Expressing this linear homogeneous system in matrix-vector form $Ax = 0$, we know that this system only has a nontrivial solution when the determinant of the $4 \times 4$ coefficient matrix $A$ with respect to the $x_i$ vanishes [32]. Thus, after computing the determinant and omitting the factors that can never vanish, the general algebraic IO equation of the RSSR linkage arises directly from the determinant as

$$Av_1^2 v_8^2 + 8d_1 \alpha_8 a_7 v_1^2 v_8 + 8d_8 \alpha_8 a_1 v_1 v_8^2 + Bu_1^2$$
\[\quad + 8a_1 a_7 (\alpha_8 - 1)(\alpha_8 + 1)v_1 v_8 + Cv_8^2 + 8d_8 \alpha_8 a_1 v_1 + 8d_1 \alpha_8 a_7 v_8 + D = 0, \quad (24)\]

where

$$A = (\alpha_8^2 + 1)A_1 A_2 + R,$$
$$B = (\alpha_8^2 + 1)B_1 B_2 + R,$$
$$C = (\alpha_8^2 + 1)C_1 C_2 + R,$$
$$D = (\alpha_8^2 + 1)D_1 D_2 + R,$$
and

\[ A_1 = (a_1 - a_4 + a_7 - a_8), \quad A_2 = (a_1 + a_4 + a_7 - a_8), \]
\[ B_1 = (a_1 + a_4 - a_7 - a_8), \quad B_2 = (a_1 - a_4 - a_7 - a_8), \]
\[ C_1 = (a_1 - a_4 - a_7 + a_8), \quad C_2 = (a_1 + a_4 - a_7 + a_8), \]
\[ D_1 = (a_1 + a_4 + a_7 + a_8), \quad D_2 = (a_1 - a_4 + a_7 + a_8), \]
\[ R = (d_1 - d_8)^2 \alpha_8^2 + (d_1 + d_8)^2. \]

Eq. (24) is an implicit biquadratic algebraic curve of degree 4 in the joint angle parameters \( v_1 \) and \( v_8 \), as one would expect.

5. Numerical Approach

The degree four algebraic IO equation for the RSSR expressed as Eq. (24) will be compared to the result from a concomitant numerical method. The aim for the numerical method is to compute an eliminant with the general approach of numerical elimination theory [33, Ch. 16]. This involves performing computations using the given polynomial system from Eq. (11) and geometrically projecting points via pseudowitness sets [34]. For this problem, the pseudowitness set provided that the degree of the eliminant is 8 in 9 variables \((v_1, v_8, \alpha_8, a_1, a_4, a_7, a_8, d_1, d_8)\). Since there are a total of \( \binom{9+8}{8} = 24310 \) monomials of degree at most 8 in 9 variables, the approach is to use the pseudowitness set to generate at least 24310 sample points and then to use interpolation to recover the eliminant [35, Ch. 6]. To gather these sample points, one randomly fixes values of the parameters \( \alpha_8, a_1, a_4, a_7, a_8, d_1, d_8 \), and solves for the angle parameter values, \( v_1 \) and \( v_8 \) using any of a variety of sampling methods within numerical algebraic geometry [36, Sec. 2.3]. This yields precisely the same IO equation as the LIA approach, Eq. (24).

6. Geometric Verification

To verify both the algebraic and numerical results, the IO equation of an arbitrary linkage was animated in GeoGebra. The model enabled measurement
of the output angle for any given input angle. Tracing the locus of each input-output pair results in a curve which is compared with the herein derived IO equation, Eq. (24). The chosen design parameters for the example linkage are $a_1 = 3$, $a_4 = 5$, $a_7 = 9$, $d_8 = 3$, $a_8 = 11$ and $\tau_8 = 60^\circ$. While the result of the

GeoGebra file is displayed in Fig. (3a), substituting the same design parameters into Eq. (24) yields the curve in Fig. (3b). As can be seen, the curves are congruent which further suggests that Eq. (24) is indeed correct.

Figure 3: Example RSSR function generator with $a_1 = 3$, $a_4 = 5$, $a_7 = 9$, $d_8 = 3$, $a_8 = 11$ and $\tau_8 = 60^\circ$.

7. Relation to the IO equation of the Planar 4R Linkage

Following [4, Sec. 11.4] the IO equation of the RSSR linkage can be directly transformed into the IO equation of the planar 4R linkage since the planar 4R is a special case of the RSSR. This requires substituting $\alpha_8 = d_1 = d_8 = 0$ into Eq. (24). After renaming the link lengths and the output angle such that the coupler becomes $a_2$, the output link $a_3$, the base link $a_4$, and the angle of the output $v_4$ instead of the notation from Fig. (2), i.e., $a_4$, $a_7$, $a_8$, and $v_8$, respectively, the RSSR IO equation reduces to

$$Av_1^2v_4^2 + Bv_1^2 - 8a_1a_3v_1v_4 + Cv_4^2 + D = 0,$$

(25)
where

\[ A = (a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4)(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 - a_4) = A_1 A_2, \]

\[ B = (a_1 + a_2 - a_3 - a_4)(a_1 - a_2 - a_3 + a_4) = B_1 B_2, \]

\[ C = (a_1 - a_2 - a_3 + a_4)(a_1 + a_2 - a_3 + a_4) = C_1 C_2, \]

\[ D = (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)(a_1 - a_2 + a_3 + a_4) = D_1 D_2, \]

which is the same IO equation as derived in [10] for planar 4R linkages.

8. Conclusions

In recent publications [10, 11] it was shown that Study’s kinematic image space and elimination theory provide an excellent, straightforward tool to derive algebraic IO equations for planar, spherical, and Bennett linkages. In this paper, the same method was extended to arbitrary spatial four-bar linkages, namely the RSSR. After describing the linkage with standard DH parameters and mapping the closure equation into Study’s kinematic image space, the intermediate motion parameters were eliminated with two concomitant methods: algebraically using the linear implicitisation algorithm; and numerically using pseudowitness sets to generate points and then interpolation to recover the eliminant. Both methods lead to the same IO equation containing four more complicated coefficients of the input and output angles compared to the planar 4R, but clearly containing the algebraic IO equation of planar 4R linkages as a subset. This IO equation was additionally verified using a geometric animation in GeoGebra. It is intriguing to consider further investigation of the structure of the herein derived algebraic IO equation, how it relates to the linkage mobility, coupler motion, and how it can be used for type and dimensional continuous algebraic synthesis.
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